1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 625 3RD STREET ASSOCIATES, L.P., a California 11 limited partnership, 12 Plaintiff, No. C 09-00564 WHA 13 No. C 09-03820 WHA v. 14 BOARD OF THE NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION, in its capacity as liquidating 15 agent for the Kaiperm Federal Credit Union, KAIPERM FEDERAL CREDIT UNION, a federal 16 credit union, and STANLEY ABRAMS, an individual, 17 Defendants. 18 19 VERDICT FORM 20 (CIRCULATED TO COUNSEL AFTER THE CHARGING CONFERENCE AND BEFORE CLOSINGS) 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

28

1	YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.
2	1. Has plaintiff 625 3rd Street proven that either of the following defendants committed
3	fraud in connection with the sale-and-leaseback transaction?
4	Yes No Kaiperm
5	Stanley Abrams
6 7	2. If you have answered "ves" to Question 1, state the amount of damages proven by
8	plaintiff, if any, by reason of any proven fraud. Remember, any damage you find against Mr.
9	Abrams must also be found as against Kaiperm (in addition to any other fraud damages found
10	by you against Kaiperm).
11	As to Kaiperm \$ As to Stanley Abrams \$
12	3. If you have awarded damages under Question 2, then state whether the fraud was oral
1314	or written or both. Oral Written Both
15	4. Has plaintiff 625 3rd Street proven that defendant Kaiperm breached any warranties in
16 17	the contract? Yes No
18	5. If you have answered "yes" to Question 4, state the amount of damages proven by
19	plaintiff, if any, by reason of breach of warranty. \$
20	6. If you have awarded damages against Kaiperm under both Questions 2 and 5, then state
21	the total amount of damages proven by plaintiff, eliminating any double-counting of damage
2223	items. In other words, state the total amount of damage items, counting each item only once.
24	\$
25	Once you have answered all you need to answer, the foreperson should sign
26	AND DATE THIS FORM. THEN SEND OUT A NOTE THAT YOU HAVE REACHED A VERDICT.
27	Dated: October, 2010.

Foreperson